### SUMMARY OF THE NELAC On-site Assessment Committee Teleconference September 30, 1996

The On-Site Assessment Committee (OSAC) of the National Environmental laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) met by teleconference on Monday, September 30, 1996. Mr. Gary Bennett, chair of the committee, led the meeting. A list of Action Items is given in Attachment A. A list of participants is given in Attachment B.

#### INTRODUCTION

Mr. Bennett began the meeting with several announcements related to NELAC activities:

- ! Although an exact date has not been set, the interim meeting is tentatively scheduled to be held in Washington, D.C., sometime in mid-to late January 1997.
- ! The next national conference will be held July 28 31, 1997, at the Wyndham Anatole, Dallas, TX.
- ! The NELAC standards approved at the July 1996 conference were scheduled to be placed on the NELAC bulletin board by September 13. None of the committee members had accessed the bulletin board to determine if the standards were available.

#### **1997 GOALS**

Mr. Bennett indicated that the primary charge of the OSAC for the coming year was to develop standards for assessor training and the assessor training manual. In addition, it will be necessary to reconsider Section 3.4.5 on Confidential Business Information (CBI). This section was returned to the committee for further consideration during conference voting.

Ms. Jeanne Mourrain, NELAC director, has indicated that there is money set aside for a contract to assist the OSAC in developing standards for the assessor training program and for the assessor training manual. Few details were available on the specifics of the contract implementation. The committee discussed how this contract would operate. It was noted that Mr. Steve Baker is chairing an OSAC subcommittee for assessor training. The committee members agreed that OSAC must have final approval authority of the contractor's work product. Dr. George Dilbeck suggested that someone contact U. S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Drinking Water Technical Support Division to see how drinking water certification officer training would integrate with NELAC's training standards.

#### **CHECKLISTS**

The committee discussed whether the assessor training manual would contain checklists to be used in the on-site assessment process. All agreed that this would be desirable. The committee

discussed whether the checklists should be centered around a laboratory's quality system and be based on the NELAC quality system standards or whether they should be tailored to be both program- and method-specific. Employing either approach or a combination of the two is possible. Many committee members felt the States would prefer a program- and method-specific system of checklists in addition to a quality system checklist. It was stated that the particular approach for the checklists depends on how the Policy and Program Structure Committee develops its approach to accreditation. There was also discussion on how EPA's proposed Performance- Based Method System (PBMS) would interface with a method-specific checklist approach. There does not appear to be enough information available on PBMS at the present time for the committee to devise an approach.

#### **REVISING SECTION 3.4.5**

Section 3.4.5 on CBI was discussed briefly. The main point of the deliberations was whether the entire section needed major revisions or whether the phrase citing "client names and addresses" as examples of CBI needed to be added. This phrase was present in the June 1996 draft of the OSAC standard but was deleted by the committee prior to voting by the conference. Mr. Bennett agreed to examine 40 CFR Part 2 and submit a candidate section for the committee's consideration.

### QUALIFICATION OF ON-SITE ASSESSORS

The final topic for discussion centered around a letter received from the State of Washington Department of Health regarding the qualifications of on-site assessors. The letter recommended adding a statement to the on-site assessment standard requiring assessors to have recent laboratory experience within the specific discipline being evaluated. The letter also stated that assessors should have access to or be connected to a laboratory that is involved in the analysis of samples in the discipline of their certification. The letter noted that the draft 4th edition of the *Manual for Certification of Laboratories Analyzing Drinking Water* requires EPA certification officers to have had recent bench experience.

The letter generated intense reaction from the committee members. One member stated that while the experience requirement would be the ideal, it might not always be practical. Another member indicated that this requirement might negatively impact State certification programs. A third member indicated that the OSAC training standard, when developed, might make this requirement unnecessary. Another member indicated that third-party assessors might find this requirement difficult to meet. The committee agreed not to propose the addition of such language to the standard at the present time.

#### **NEXT MEETING**

The next teleconference of the committee is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, November 5.

## ACTION ITEM ON-SITE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE TELECONFERENCE September 30, 1996

**Mr. Gary Bennett** will examine 40 CFR Part 2 and submit a candidate section 3.4.5 for the committee's review.

# LIST OF PARTICIPANTS ON-SITE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE TELECONFERENCE September 30, 1996

Name	Affiliation	Phone Numbers
Mr. Steve Ankabrandt	Eastman Chemical Co.	T: 423-229-2657 F: 423-229-3677
Mr. Gary Bennett, Chair	USEPA/Region 4, ESD	T: 706-546-3287 F: 706-546-3375
Ms. Rosanna Buhl	Battelle Ocean Sciences	T: 617-934-0571 F: 617-934-2124
Mr. Roy Covert	AIHA/Covert & Associates	T: 615-824-2543 F: 615-824-2543
Mr. Wayne Davis	SC Dept. Of Health & Env. Lab. Cert.	T: 803-935-6856 F: 803-935-6859
Dr. George Dilbeck	USEPA	T: 702-798-2104 F: 702-798-2236
Ms. Marlene Patillo	MD Dept. Of Environment	T: 410-631-3646 F: 410-631-3735